1 **Charter Township of Kalamazoo** 2 **Brownfield Authority** 3 Minutes of a Regular Meeting 4 January 7, 2016 5 6 A meeting of the Charter Township of Kalamazoo Brownfield Authority was held on January 7, 7 2016 at the Township Hall commencing at 8:45 p.m. 8 9 Present were: 10 Charles Rothrock 11 Sarah Milne 12 Chairman Robert VanderKlok 13 **Henry Dingemans** 14 Robert Talbot 15 Steve Leuty William Chapman 16 17 18 Absent were: 19 None. 20 21 Also present were Township Planning Consultant/Zoning Administrator Nathan Mehmed and 22 Township Attorney Roxanne Seeber. There were no visitors. 23 24 Set Agenda. 25 26 Rothrock moved, supported by Milne to approve the agenda as submitted. The motion passed 27 unanimously. 28 29 **Election of Officers** 30 31 VanderKlok remarked that the officers are usually consistent with those of the Planning 32 Commission, although that was not a requirement. Rothrock moved, supported by Leuty to appoint the 33 officers as follows: 34 Chairman-VanderKlok; Vice Chairman-Dingemans; Secretary-Talbot. 35 36 The motion passed unanimously. 37 38 Discussion. 39 VanderKlok indicated that he had invited Supervisor Reid and Treasurer Cochran to the meeting 40 41 but neither was available. He gave a short history of the Brownfield Authority, indicating that a previous 42 Township Supervisor Gary Cramer had championed the Township's brownfield redevelopment efforts.

VanderKlok provided copies of a couple of brownfield redevelopment plans that had been adopted in the

past. A subsequent supervisor, Terrie Mellinger, had not been as interested in brownfield redevelopment

and, as a result, the importance of the Township's Brownfield Authority and activities had substantially

diminished. As he understood the present situation, the County Brownfield Authority was to initiate

brownfield redevelopment. If activities were to take place within Kalamazoo Township, the county was

to send the matter to the Township's Brownfield Redevelopment Authority for a determination as to

43

44

45

46

47

48

whether the site was within the Township's Brownfield Redevelopment plan. If it was not, then the Township Brownfield Authority would consider amending its plan.

VanderKlok provided his last known copy of a Township BRA Plan, indicating that it was dated 2005. If the Township's Brownfield efforts were to continue, then the plan would most certainly need to be updated, he opined.

VanderKlok voiced concern over a recent brownfield effort that the county had undertaken at the former Hi-Lo convenience store site. He had observed the Township Board approve the redevelopment plan when the Township BRA had not seen or heard of it. Part of the problem, he voiced, was that the county would then take the tax increment revenue before the township ever had a chance to get a portion or to attempt to recoup its costs.

VanderKlok was dissatisfied with the Township's response to his inquiry. He was not sure if the Township's BRA was still functional. VanderKlok voiced concern over a couple of the county's redevelopment efforts, part of which were funded by tax increments. He cited the Goodwill Store site and stated that it does not appear that anything was done in order to remove the dilapidated building or parking lot. There had been no apparent efforts to obtain decontamination. The Goodwill property, he said, was not a taxable property prior to redevelopment. Thus, he reasoned, the county would get the entire amount of tax increment revenue. The property in question had a dry cleaning company as part of the Goodwill, Rothrock recalled.

VanderKlok continued with an inquiry as to whether the Township BRA was still functional. If so, what is its charge? What is it supposed to do? He felt as through the Township's BRA had been left hanging for a number of years. Seeber indicated that it appeared that the Township could authorize the County BRA to undertake its activities. The Township could then enter into an intergovernmental agreement with the county. Upon inquiry, no one was aware as to whether there had been an agreement with the county or a resolution to provide that authority to the county. Rothrock recalled that over the years there had been three plans. Ken Dettloff had been the original author of the plans. There was also some kind of partnership to share the tax increments. Rothrock felt that there had been a considerable amount of Township money spent on the plans. Some of those administrative fees, at the very least, should be coming back to the Township.

VanderKlok had been advised that the County BRA was only interested in "big" projects with at least a 25% investment return. Seeber inquired about an inventory. Rothrock and VanderKlok stated that it would be current only up to the 2005 plan; however, they could indicate what properties, if any, had been rehabilitated. VanderKlok indicated that the county appeared to be primarily concerned with the \$0 dollar value properties thus creating a larger return. Leuty recalled the Hi-Lo matter than had been approved by the Township Board. He was led to believe that the Township had given up its brownfield responsibilities to the County. However, if there were no numbers coming back from properties that were already on the list; then he was concerned. He thought that the county had purchased the Hi-Lo property on tax sale.

VanderKlok thought that the Township had sold the Hi-Lo to the billiards company that was now operating there. Once the property was township-owned it was non-taxable. VanderKlok estimated that there was somewhere around \$400,000 lost in tax increment revenues all together, not to mention the costs to the Township to prepare and administer the brownfield redevelopment plans. Leuty indicated that he had made a call to Lotta Jarnfedlt at the county. He was hopeful that many of the Township BRA's

questions would be answered. Leuty had inquired as to whether Jarnfeldt had a copy of the agreement with the county. Rothrock recalled that at some point he had resigned from the County BRA. David Artley, who was formerly with the county had presented some kind of cooperative plan, he thought, but he was unsure as to whether there had been any follow through. Leuty, Rothrock and VanderKlok voiced a desire to determine how to get the whole process moving forward, in whatever mechanism it needed to, in order to remove some of the brownfields and blighted properties in the township. Leuty was unsure as to whether some bullying from the county had occurred in the past. In the end, he said, the goal was really to place these properties back onto the tax rolls and into productive use.

VanderKlok indicated that the process for the billiards place should have been to send the matter to the Township BRA. He thought that the township may have had to spend some money, investigate and then determine whether the property should have been placed into the Township's Plan.

VanderKlok and Rothrock indicated a desire to know what was going on with the former Goodwill site and where the tax money is going. There seemed to be a lack of communication on a lot of levels. The processes, they said, should be fully integrated in some fashion.

VanderKlock provided his 2005 plan copy to Seeber for scanning and distribution. Seeber promised to notify VanderKlok when he could pick up the original. She said she will get into the firm's documents and see whether there were any other details available, including some kind of resolution or cooperative agreement with the county. Rothrock indicated that he may have some documents in his possession as well. Leuty will continue to follow his county leads. It is hoped that there can be some kind of a direction within the next 30 to 45 days.

Rothrock <u>moved</u>, <u>supported</u> by Milne to adjourn the meeting at approximately 9:30 p.m. The motion <u>passed unanimously</u>.

Date Minutes Prepared: J	January 13 2015
Date Minutes Approved:	

Robert Talbot, Secretary Kalamazoo Charter Township Brownfield Redevelopment Authority